

Program Name: Biology Clinical Laboratory Science

Assessed by: Jeff Goff, Dept. of Natural Sciences

Date/Cycle of Assessment: Submitted on 1/8/2021; Reporting cycle of January 2019 - December 2019

Mission Statement:

The Malone University Department of Natural Sciences exists to engage students in the study of God's majesty and character by exploring His handiwork as it is revealed in Nature, both animate and inanimate; to promote the wise and thoughtful stewardship of the natural resources He has entrusted to us; and to encourage students to demonstrate God's love in their respective communities by using the knowledge and skills they acquire here.

Program Goals:

- Students should comprehend the central concepts of biology and chemistry, the underlying assumptions of biological knowledge and chemical knowledge, and be able to employ the methods of inquiry commonly utilized by practicing biologists and chemists at a level sufficient for entrance into graduate school, professional schools, and other biological vocations (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals A4, D1, and D3).
- Students should become proficient in solving biological and chemical problems using both quantitative and qualitative approaches and in analyzing / interpreting data generated by experimental protocols commonly employed by practicing biologists/chemists (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals C3, D4, and D5).
- Students should be able to apply the principles of Christian Stewardship to biological practice and interpret biological and chemical phenomena within a Christian worldview (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals D2, E1, and E5).
- Students should develop an enriched understanding of the nature of human identity, development, and behavior through a study of human anatomy and physiology. (Stems from Malone Educ. Goal A3)

MALONE UNIVERSITY ANNUAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (See Appendix for Raw Data and Detailed Analysis)

Department: Natural Sciences

Program: Biology Clinical Laboratory Science
Assessed by: Jeffrey M. Goff - Dept. of Natural Sciences

Time Period Covered: January 2019-December 2019

Submission Date: 1/8/2021

Program Intended Learning Outcomes (PILO)	Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success	Summary of Data Collected	Use of Results
Demonstrate the capability of integrating data and assessing phenomena within a Christian paradigm (Departmental Outcome A).	1) Average cumulative score ≥ 12; minimum cumulative score of 8; no individual component score of 1 on the Faith and Learning Assessment Instrument as scored by the associated rubric.	Average composite score = 14.17; minimum composite score = 10; all individual component scores were 2 or higher.	Average composite score, all individual composite scores, and all individual component scores met the departmental criteria for success. No changes to curriculum deemed necessary. Nevertheless, some changes to the wordings of the prompts are anticipated due to the fact that some student responses indicated a misunderstanding of the prompts.
Demonstrate a comprehension of the central concepts of chemistry including the major theories and laws which govern chemical phenomena (Departmental Outcome B).	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ACS Gen Chem II Exam when administered as a post-test. 2) Average Cohort score on ACS Gen Chem II Exam should show at least a 70.0% improvement over the average cohort score when used as a pre-test.	1) Mean score on the ACS Gen Chem Exam is 33.06 (-0.44 σ). This year, three students failed to meet the -1.5 σ criterion with scores of -1.55 σ , -1.64 σ , and -1.91 σ . 2) Class average on ACS Gen Chem pre-test is 18.30 giving strong evidence of student improvement (80.7% improvement in score from pre-test to post-test).	This year, the class average met the -0.5σ criterion, but we had three individual scores that failed to meet the -1.5σ criterion. Although the individual scores are disappointing, the cohort average is higher than 7 cohort averages collected over the last 12 years. Although several reasons were listed in the appendix in support of the fact that results on this instrument need to be used "with a grain of salt", we are encouraged by the improvement. The improvement over the last 2 years might possibly reflect the introduction of the new, alternative "Zoo Chem" option for Zoo & Wildlife Biology majors. Over the next year or 2, the efficacy of this curriculum change should become more conclusive. The department has opted to postpone any remedial chemistry course development until this time window is complete. The ACS Gen Chem II pre-test scores, when compared to the post-test scores, are extremely strong evidence that our students are improving as a result of our freshman chemistry sequence. The department has concluded that whether or not our students enter below the national average, they show significant improvement in content knowledge as a result of this course sequence. STEM readiness scores for this cohort suggest that only 35% of the class was "ready" for Chem 131.

is year seem to be anomalous. Although no issed the individual minimum standard, the average slightly missed the minimum standard. Is cohort's average ACS Organic Exam score was mented over the last 10 years. ub-scores were acceptable this year. esults from our two assessments have given us ect on potential changes. Given the historic two instruments (and no changes in the
average slightly missed the minimum standard. is cohort's average ACS Organic Exam score was mented over the last 10 years. ub-scores were acceptable this year. esults from our two assessments have given us ect on potential changes. Given the historic
is cohort's average ACS Organic Exam score was mented over the last 10 years. ub-scores were acceptable this year. esults from our two assessments have given us ect on potential changes. Given the historic
mented over the last 10 years. ub-scores were acceptable this year. esults from our two assessments have given us ect on potential changes. Given the historic
ub-scores were acceptable this year. esults from our two assessments have given us ect on potential changes. Given the historic
esults from our two assessments have given us ect on potential changes. Given the historic
ect on potential changes. Given the historic
two instruments (and no changes in the
department has opted to not make any changes
n at this time. We simply need to see if this is an
peginning of a downward trend.
scores and elemental scores met the standard.
nd in contrast to the results from the last
of the course and seem to reflect positively on
ange that was implemented prior to this
course (an extra credit hour was added to the
ortcomings mentioned in previous reports have
, been sufficiently addressed, and no individual
are expected to miss the minimum standard in
urther changes are warranted at this time.

Demonstrate an ability to analyze various kinds of experimental data used in the chemical disciplines including the output of various instrumental techniques (Departmental Outcome E).	1) Each student must obtain a minimum cumulative score of 15 on each of 6 instrumental assignments (i.e., GC instrument administered in Chem 221 and IR/MS/NMR assignments administered in Chem 322).	All students who passed the class met the minimum score of 15 on all 6 assignments.	This report contains data from the GC instrument for the first time, though data from the other 5 instruments have been collected for several years. An additional 3 instrumental assignments are anticipated to address the comments made at the last programmatic review. The chemistry faculty were hoping to implement these new assignments within the next one or two reporting cycles. The timeline for implementation may be delayed somewhat due to the retirement of one chemistry faculty and the fact that his replacement left after only one semester. At the moment, however, no changes are
Demonstrate an understanding of the biological characteristics of each of the major kingdoms (Departmental Outcome F)	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ETS biology exam Organismal Subscore.	1) Average Organismal subscore is 58.8 (+0.50 σ). No individuals failed to meet the – 1.5 σ criterion.	warranted other than those already in motion. In light of the successful scores of several recent cohorts on the organismal sub-section of the ETS, the department has opted to not make any programmatic changes at this time based on this instrument. Individuals missing the criterion of –1.5σ on other sub-sections or even as composite scores are a concern for us, but legitimate reasons for individual students missing the cutoff (e.g., illness, test anxiety) do exist. The institutional cohort averages on this section are some of the highest and represent strengths of the department's biology programs.

Demonstrate an understanding of the fundamental concepts of molecular biology and genetics (Departmental Outcome G).	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ETS biology exam Molecular Biology and Genetics sub-scores.	1) Average Molecular Biology/Genetics sub-score is 54.3 (+0.06 σ). One individual failed to meet the -1.50σ criterion (-1.95σ).	The average sub-score has increased significantly from last year's value giving strong evidence that last year's score (lowest since 2009) was anomalous. This year, the cohort average meets the departmental standard of –0.5σ. Nevertheless, the fact that 1 student failed to meet the –1.5σ criterion is unsettling. The department has had multiple, at-length conversations regarding students who successfully complete the curriculum and manage to miss minimum scores on standardized tests at graduation. Last year's report stated that "Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this from recurring)." This has proven to be more difficult than anticipated. Although a minimum grade (C–) in Biol 147 is now a requirement for admission into Biol 254, this might not significantly impact performance in Biol 372 and Biol 375 content. Further conversation and potential action is likely warranted, and the department has agreed to continue this conversation.
Demonstrate an ability to properly relate biological structure and function (Departmental Outcome I).	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ETS biology exam Cell Biology subscore.	1) Average Cell Biology subscore is 50.9 (-0.12σ). Two individuals failed to meet the -1.5σ criterion.	This sub-section of the ETS has historically been our lowest and this is true again this year. For this reason, a curricular change was proposed and passed by the full faculty that added one credit hour to the introductory Cell Biology course. This year represents only the third year that this curricular change would be expected to have any bearing on assessment scores of graduating seniors. Several years will be required, though, before the results could approach statistical significance. Furthermore, two students who completed an entire Malone biology curriculum missed the criterion of –1.5 σ this year. Last year's report stated that "Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this from recurring)." The department has since implemented a minimum grade of C– in Biol 147 as a threshold for admission into Biol 254. The department is content, at the moment, to see if this implemented change has the desired impact on the issue of the occasional poor student completing the program.

Demonstrate the capability of	Instrument has been dropped in	NO DATA	Previous reports have indicated that our department has been
analyzing and reporting empirical	favor of a newer one that has yet		having a long and rather continuous conversation about the
data from the biological sciences	to be developed.		need to implement a research methods course. This course was
(Departmental Outcome K).			developed and approved by the department and full faculty.
			This course ran for the first time in Fall 2016. The exact nature
			of the assessment instrument is still in flux, but the department
			has completed the most difficult step in addressing this shortfall.
			The instructor of this course has indicated that a specific
			instrument designed to address this Program Intended Learning
			Outcome is possible, and several instruments have been
			deployed within the course. To date, however, a departmental
			assessment addressing K is still in flux. The instrument should
			be in place with first data collection by Fall 2020.
Demonstrate a balanced concept	1) Mean score no lower than	1) Average post-test score for	This instrument is in its infancy and has been altered twice
of molecular, micro, and macro	8/12 on the A&P questions of the	Spring 2019 was 8.45. Lowest	already to increase its value/efficacy as it is "broken in" over the
levels of biological phenomena in	in-house biology post-test exam.	individual score was a 6.	next year or so. Criteria for success will undoubtedly change
the context of human systems	No individual with a score lower	2) Average improvement data	over the next couple of years as well. This year, we can at least
(Departmental Outcome L).	than 5/12. (Note: New	is not yet possible for a single	see that we have met our earliest criteria for success in a
	instrument – this criterion is still	cohort (Fall 2018 – the first pre-	somewhat strained analysis (i.e., a 94.0% improvement across
	being evaluated).	test data available – won't have	two different cohorts). In addition, note that the lowest score
	2) Average improvement on A&P	post-test data available until	on the post-test instrument is better than the average score on
	questions from pre-test to post-	Spring 2022). Nevertheless, we	the pre-test instrument. No need for curricular change based on
	test should be at least 70% (Note:	can compare pre-test scores	these early findings.
	New instrument – this criterion is	from the Fall 2019 cohort with	
	still being evaluated).	the post-scores from Spring	
		2019. Average pre-test score	
		for Fall 2019 was 4.35 and	
		median was 4.5 (compare with	
		average of 8.45 and lowest	
		individual score of 6 for post-	
		test values). "Improvement" in	
		performance across these two	
		different cohorts was 94%	

B	4) 14	4) 4	C
Demonstrate the ability to	1) Mean score no lower than	1) Average post-test score for	See comments in table cell for Departmental PILO 'L'.
properly relate biological	8/12 on the A&P questions of the	Spring 2019 was 8.45. Lowest	
structure and function in the	in-house biology post-test. No	individual score was a 6.	
context of human systems	individual with a score lower than	Average improvement data	
(Departmental Outcome M).	5/12. (Note: New instrument –	is not yet possible for a single	
	this criterion is still being	cohort (Fall 2018 – the first pre-	
	evaluated).	test data available – won't have	
	2) Average improvement on A&P	post-test data available until	
	questions from pre-test to post-	Spring 2022). Nevertheless, we	
	test should be at least 70% (Note:	can compare pre-test scores	
	New instrument – this criterion is	from the Fall 2019 cohort with	
	still being evaluated).	the post-scores from Spring	
	,	2019. Average pre-test score	
		for Fall 2019 was 4.35 and	
		median was 4.5 (compare with	
		average of 8.45 and lowest	
		individual score of 6 for post-	
		test values). "Improvement" in	
		performance across these two	
		different cohorts was 94%	
			4) 4 1 1 1 5 5 5 5
Demonstrate the level of content	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ	1) Average ETS composite	1) As has been the case for several years, the average ETS
mastery required for potential	below national mean and no	score is 155.5 (+0.21σ). Every	composite score has been meeting the departmental standard.
successful performance in	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	student met the -1.50σ	Occasionally, an individual student fails to meet the minimum
graduate school biology	below the national mean on the	criterion.	score, but this year all students met the standard. Two recent
programs or professional schools	ETS biology exam composite	2) Mean score on in-house	changes were implemented in response to this type of shortfall
(Departmental Outcome N).	score.	Biology post-test (50 question)	(minimum of C– in Biol 147 as prereq for Biol 254 and adding an
	2) Mean score no lower than	is 33.65. All individuals	extra hour to Biol 144). No further changes are warranted at
	31/50 and no individual score	exceeded the minimum score	this time.
	lower than 24/50 on the	of 24 (lowest score was 25).	2) The lowest score of 25 this year on the In-House Biology
	departmental biology Post-Test		post-test is sufficient.
	(A&P questions excluded).		