

Program Name: Biology (Pre-Medicine track)

Assessed by: Jeff Goff, Dept. of Natural Sciences

Date/Cycle of Assessment: Submitted on 12/19/2019; Reporting cycle of January 2018 - December 2018

## **Mission Statement:**

The Malone University Department of Natural Sciences exists to engage students in the study of God's majesty and character by exploring His handiwork as it is revealed in Nature, both animate and inanimate; to promote the wise and thoughtful stewardship of the natural resources He has entrusted to us; and to encourage students to demonstrate God's love in their respective communities by using the knowledge and skills they acquire here.

## **Program Goals:**

- Students should comprehend the central concepts of biology and chemistry, the underlying assumptions of biological knowledge and chemical knowledge, and be able to employ the methods of inquiry commonly utilized by practicing biologists and chemists at a level sufficient for entrance into graduate school, professional schools, and other biological vocations (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals A4, D1, and D3).
- Students should become proficient in solving biological and chemical problems using both quantitative and qualitative approaches and in analyzing / interpreting data generated by experimental protocols commonly employed by practicing biologists/chemists (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals C3, D4, and D5).
- Students should be able to apply the principles of Christian Stewardship to biological practice and interpret biological and chemical phenomena within a Christian worldview (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals D2, E1, and E5).

## MALONE UNIVERSITY ANNUAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (See Appendix for Raw Data and Detailed Analysis)

**Department:** Natural Sciences

**Program:** Biology (Pre-Medicine Track)

**Assessed by:** Jeffrey M. Goff - Dept. of Natural Sciences

**Time Period Covered:** January 2018-December 2018

**Submission Date:** 12/19/2019

Program Intended Learning Outcomes (PILO)	Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success	Summary of Data Collected	Use of Results
Demonstrate the capability of integrating data and assessing phenomena within a Christian paradigm (Departmental Outcome A).	<ul> <li>1) Average cumulative score ≥</li> <li>12; minimum cumulative score of</li> <li>8; no individual component score</li> <li>of 1 on the Faith and Learning</li> <li>Assessment Instrument as scored</li> <li>by the associated rubric.</li> </ul>	Average composite score = 15.92; minimum composite score = 10; all individual component scores were 2 or higher.	Average composite score, all individual composite scores, and all individual component scores met the departmental criteria for success. No changes to curriculum deemed necessary.
Demonstrate a comprehension of the central concepts of chemistry including the major theories and laws which govern chemical phenomena (Departmental Outcome B).	1) Mean score no lower than $0.5\sigma$ below national mean and no individual score lower than $1.5\sigma$ below the national mean on the ACS Gen Chem II Exam when administered as a post-test. 2) Average Cohort score on ACS Gen Chem II Exam should show at least a 70.0% improvement over the average cohort score when used as a pre-test.	1) Mean score on the ACS Gen Chem Exam is 36.07 (-0.16 σ). This year, only one student failed to meet the -1.5 σ criterion with a score of -1.54σ. 2) Class average on ACS Gen Chem pre-test is 18.30 giving strong evidence of student improvement (97.1% improvement in score from pretest to post-test).	This year, the class average met the $-0.5\sigma$ criterion and we had only a single individual score that failed to meet the $-1.5\sigma$ criterion. Although the single individual score is disappointing, it is an improvement over last year when 5 students failed to meet the individual score criterion, and the class average has improved as well. Although several reasons were listed in the appendix in support of the fact that results on this instrument need to be used "with a grain of salt", we are encouraged by the improvement. The improvement over the last 2 years might possibly reflect the introduction of the new, alternative "Zoo Chem" option for Zoo & Wildlife Biology majors. Over the next 2 to 3 years, the efficacy of this curriculum change should become more conclusive. The department has opted to postpone any remedial chemistry course development until this 2 to 3 year time window is complete. The ACS Gen Chem II pretest scores, when compared to the post-test scores, are extremely strong evidence that our students are improving as a result of our freshman chemistry sequence. The department has concluded that whether or not our students enter below the national average, they show significant improvement in content knowledge as a result of this course sequence. STEM readiness scores for this cohort suggest that only 35% of the class was "ready" for Chem 131.

T		T a \ a a	4) 400 0 5
Demonstrate an	1) Mean score no lower	1) Mean score on the	1) ACS Organic Exam scores were acceptable this year.
understanding of the	than $0.5\sigma$ below national	ACS Organic Chem Exam	2) ETS Organic sub-scores were also acceptable this year. The department has
relationships between	mean and no individual	was 46.4 (+0.59 σ). No	opted to not make any changes to the curriculum at this time.
structure and behavior of	score lower than 1.5 $\sigma$	individuals failed to meet	
the chemical elements in	below the national mean	the -1.5 $\sigma$ criterion. 2)	
their various forms and	on the ACS Organic Chem	Average sub-score on the	
combinations	Exam. 2) Mean score no	Organic section of the ETS	
(Departmental Outcome C).	lower than 0.5 $\sigma$ below	chemistry exam was 43.7	
	national mean and no	(–0.30σ). No individuals	
	individual score lower than	failed to meet the $-1.5\sigma$	
	$1.5\sigma$ below the national	criterion on the organic	
	mean on the ETS chemistry	section.	
	exam Organic sub-category.		
Demonstrate an ability to	1) Each student must	All students who passed	In Spring 2014, the instructor who initially developed the first 5 instruments
analyze various kinds of	obtain a minimum	the class met the	implemented a policy of assigning a grade of "Incomplete" until a student had met
experimental data used in	cumulative score of 15 on	minimum score of 15 on	the minimum criteria on all 5 assignments. As a result, the number of deficient
the chemical disciplines	each of 5 instrumental	all 5 assignments.	criteria has dropped dramatically over the last couple of years. At the
including the output of	assignments (i.e.,		encouragement of the Chemistry Program's external reviewers, the departmental
various instrumental	IR/MS/NMR assignments)		chemistry faculty have agreed to add an additional 4 instrumental assignments to
techniques (Departmental	completed in Chem 322.		the existing slate of 5. The chemistry faculty were hoping to implement these new
Outcome E).			assignments within the next one or two reporting cycles. The timeline for
,			implementation may be delayed somewhat due to the retirement of one
			chemistry faculty and the fact that his replacement left after only one semester.
			To get the ball rolling, the faculty are shooting for Fall 2019 for full
			implementation. At the moment, however, no changes are warranted other than
			those already in motion.
Demonstrate an	1) Mean score no lower	Average Organismal	In light of the successful scores of several recent cohorts on the organismal sub-
understanding of the	than $0.5\sigma$ below national	sub-score is 53.1	section of the ETS, the department has opted to not make any programmatic
biological characteristics of	mean and no individual	$(+0.00\sigma)$ . No individuals	changes at this time based on this instrument. Individuals missing the criterion of
each of the major	score lower than 1.5 $\sigma$	failed to meet the $-1.5\sigma$	$-1.5\sigma$ on other sub-sections or even as composite scores are a concern for us, but
kingdoms (Departmental	below the national mean	criterion.	legitimate reasons for individual students missing the cutoff (e.g., illness, test
Outcome F)		criterion.	
outcome i j	on the ETS biology exam		anxiety) do exist. The department is more concerned when students who have struggled throughout the curriculum at Malone, eventually graduate, but perform
	Organismal Sub-score.		poorly on the ETS exam. This has occasionally happened, but not routinely.
			Historically, we have indicated that "No changes appear warranted at this time",
			but we have reached the point where we believe curricular changes are
			warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next report
			(i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or limiting the number of
			course repeats might prevent this from recurring).

	T		
Demonstrate an understanding	1) Mean score no lower than $0.5\sigma$	Average Molecular	The average sub-score has dropped significantly from last year's
of the fundamental concepts of	below national mean and no	Biology/Genetics sub-score is	value and is actually the lowest sub-score recorded for us since
molecular biology and genetics	individual score lower than 1.5 $\sigma$	47.5 ( $-0.40\sigma$ ). Two individuals	at least 2009. Still, the cohort average meets the departmental
(Departmental Outcome G).	below the national mean on the	failed to meet the –1.50 $\sigma$	standard of $-0.5\sigma$ . Nevertheless, the abnormally low average
	ETS biology exam Molecular	criterion (−1.70σ and −2.30σ).	score coupled with the fact that 2 students failed (badly) to
	Biology and Genetics sub-scores.		meet the $-1.5\sigma$ criterion have set off alarm bells for us. One of
			the students that missed the individual standard ( $-2.30\sigma$ ) had a
			major GPA (2.31) which barely met the major GPA requirement
			for graduation (2.25) and scored below average in their Genetics
			course. The department has had multiple, at-length
			conversations regarding students who successfully complete the
			curriculum and manage to miss minimum scores on
			standardized tests at graduation. Historically, we have indicated
			that "No changes appear warranted at this time", but we have
			reached the point where we believe curricular changes are
			warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form by
			the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific
			courses and/or limiting the number of course repeats might
			prevent this from recurring).
Demonstrate an understanding	1) Mean score no lower than $0.5\sigma$	1) Average Population	In light of the successful scores of several recent cohorts on the
of the various factors that impact	below national mean and no	Biology/Evolution/Ecology sub-	population biology/evolution/ecology sub-section, the
biological populations	individual score lower than 1.5 $\sigma$	score is 52.2 (+0.02 <i>o</i> ). All	department has opted to not make any programmatic changes
(Departmental Outcome H).	below the national mean on the	individuals met the -1.5 $\sigma$	at this time. The institutional cohort averages on this section
	ETS biology exam Population	criterion.	are some of the highest and represent strengths of the
	Biology/Evolution/Ecology sub-		department's biology programs.
	score.		5 5

	Taxaa	T	Ten 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Demonstrate an ability to	1) Mean score no lower than	1) Average Cell Biology sub-	This sub-section of the ETS has historically been our lowest. For
properly relate biological	$0.5\sigma$ below national mean and no	score is 51.2 (–0.13 <i>σ</i> ). No	this reason, a curricular change was proposed and passed by the
structure and function	individual score lower than 1.5 $\sigma$	individuals failed to meet the –	full faculty that added one credit hour to the introductory Cell
(Departmental Outcome I).	below the national mean on the	$1.5\sigma$ criterion.	Biology course effective Fall 2012. This year represents only the
	ETS biology exam Cell Biology sub-		third year that this curricular change would be expected to have
	score.		any bearing on assessment scores of graduating seniors. Several
			years will be required, though, before the results could approach
			statistical significance. Although every student met the
			minimum criteria this year, two students who completed an
			entire Malone biology curriculum missed the criterion of –1.5σ
			last year. These two students had to retake one or more courses
			in order to improve their major GPA to the point that they were
			able to graduate. Historically, we have indicated that "No
			changes appear warranted at this time", but we have reached
			the point where we believe curricular changes are warranted.
			Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next
			report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or
			limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this from
			recurring).
Demonstrate the capability of	Instrument has been dropped in	NO DATA	Previous reports have indicated that our department has been
analyzing and reporting empirical	favor of a newer one that has yet		having a long and rather continuous conversation about the
data from the biological sciences	to be developed.		need to implement a research methods course. This course was
(Departmental Outcome K).	·		developed and approved by the department and full faculty.
,			This course ran for the first time in Fall 2016. The exact nature
			of the assessment instrument is still in flux, but the department
			has completed the most difficult step in addressing this shortfall.
			The instructor of this course has indicated that a specific
			instrument designed to address this Program Intended Learning
			Outcome is possible, and several instruments have been
			deployed within the course. To date, however, a departmental
			assessment addressing K is still in flux. The instrument should
			be in place with first data collection by Fall 2020.

Demonstrate the level of content mastery required for potential successful performance in graduate school biology programs or professional schools (Departmental Outcome N).

- 1) Mean score no lower than  $0.5\,\sigma$  below national mean and no individual score lower than  $1.5\,\sigma$  below the national mean on the ETS biology exam composite score. 2) Mean score no lower than 31/50 and no individual score lower than 24/50 on the departmental biology Post-Test (A&P questions excluded).
- 1) Average ETS composite score is  $151.3 \ (-0.13\sigma)$ . A single individual score failed to meet the  $-1.50\sigma$  criterion (score of 133 which equates to  $-1.54\sigma$ ). 2) Mean score on inhouse Biology post-test is 32.93. All individuals exceeded the minimum score of 24 (lowest score was 26).
- 1) As has been the case for several years, the average ETS composite score has been meeting the departmental standard. Occasionally, an individual student fails to meet the minimum score, and this year is no exception. Historically, we have indicated that "No changes appear warranted at this time", but we have reached the point where we believe curricular changes are warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this from recurring).
- 2) The lowest score of 26 this year on the In-House Biology post-test is sufficient.