

Program Name: Biology (Pre-Physician's Assistant Track)

Assessed by: Jeff Goff, Dept. of Natural Sciences

Date/Cycle of Assessment: Submitted on 12/19/2019; Reporting cycle of January 2018 – December 2018

Mission Statement:

The Malone University Department of Natural Sciences exists to engage students in the study of God's majesty and character by exploring His handiwork as it is revealed in Nature, both animate and inanimate; to promote the wise and thoughtful stewardship of the natural resources He has entrusted to us; and to encourage students to demonstrate God's love in their respective communities by using the knowledge and skills they acquire here.

Program Goals:

- Students should comprehend the central concepts of biology and chemistry, the underlying assumptions of biological knowledge and chemical knowledge, and be able to employ the methods of inquiry commonly utilized by practicing biologists and chemists at a level sufficient for entrance into graduate school, professional schools, and other biological vocations (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals A4, D1, and D3).
- Students should become proficient in solving biological and chemical problems using both quantitative and qualitative approaches and in analyzing / interpreting data generated by experimental protocols commonly employed by practicing biologists/chemists (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals C3, D4, and D5).
- Students should be able to apply the principles of Christian Stewardship to biological practice and interpret biological and chemical phenomena within a Christian worldview (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals D2, E1, and E5).
- Students should develop an enriched understanding of the nature of human identity, development, and behavior through a study of human anatomy and physiology. (Stems from Malone Educ. Goal A3)

MALONE UNIVERSITY ANNUAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (See Appendix for Raw Data and Detailed Analysis)

Department:	Natural Sciences
Program:	Biology (Pre-Physician's Assistant Track)
Assessed by:	Jeffrey M. Goff - Dept. of Natural Sciences
Time Period Covered:	January 2018-December 2018
Submission Date:	12/19/2019

Program Intended Learning Outcomes (PILO)	Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success	Summary of Data Collected	Use of Results
Demonstrate the capability of integrating data and assessing phenomena within a Christian paradigm (Departmental Outcome A).	 Average cumulative score ≥ ; minimum cumulative score of no individual component score of 1 on the Faith and Learning Assessment Instrument as scored by the associated rubric. 	Average composite score = 15.92; minimum composite score = 10; all individual component scores were 2 or higher.	Average composite score, all individual composite scores, and all individual component scores met the departmental criteria for success. No changes to curriculum deemed necessary.
Demonstrate a comprehension of the central concepts of chemistry including the major theories and laws which govern chemical phenomena (Departmental Outcome B).	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ACS Gen Chem II Exam when administered as a post-test. 2) Average Cohort score on ACS Gen Chem II Exam should show at least a 70.0% improvement over the average cohort score when used as a pre-test.	 Mean score on the ACS Gen Chem Exam is 36.07 (-0.16 σ). This year, only one student failed to meet the -1.5 σ criterion with a score of -1.54σ. Class average on ACS Gen Chem pre-test is 18.30 giving strong evidence of student improvement (97.1% improvement in score from pre- test to post-test). 	This year, the class average met the -0.5σ criterion and we had only a single individual score that failed to meet the -1.5σ criterion. Although the single individual score is disappointing, it is an improvement over last year when 5 students failed to meet the individual score criterion, and the class average has improved as well. Although several reasons were listed in the appendix in support of the fact that results on this instrument need to be used "with a grain of salt", we are encouraged by the improvement. The improvement over the last 2 years might possibly reflect the introduction of the new, alternative "Zoo Chem" option for Zoo & Wildlife Biology majors. Over the next 2 to 3 years, the efficacy of this curriculum change should become more conclusive. The department has opted to postpone any remedial chemistry course development until this 2 to 3 year time window is complete. The ACS Gen Chem II pre- test scores, when compared to the post-test scores, are extremely strong evidence that our students are improving as a result of our freshman chemistry sequence. The department has concluded that whether or not our students enter below the national average, they show significant improvement in content knowledge as a result of this course sequence. STEM readiness scores for this cohort suggest that only 35% of the class was "ready" for Chem 131.

Demonstrate an understanding	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5 σ	1) Mean score on the ACS	1) ACS Organic Exam scores were acceptable this year.
of the relationships between	below national mean and no	Organic Chem Exam was 46.4	2) ETS Organic sub-scores were also acceptable this year. The
structure and behavior of the	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	$(+0.59\sigma)$. No individuals failed	department has opted to not make any changes to the
chemical elements in their	below the national mean on the	to meet the -1.5 σ criterion. 2)	curriculum at this time.
various forms and combinations	ACS Organic Chem Exam. 2)	Average sub-score on the	
(Departmental Outcome C).	Mean score no lower than 0.5 σ	Organic section of the ETS	
	below national mean and no	chemistry exam was 43.7 (–	
	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	0.30σ). No individuals failed to	
	below the national mean on the	meet the –1.5 σ criterion on the	
	ETS chemistry exam Organic sub-	organic section.	
	category.	-	
Demonstrate an ability to analyze various kinds of experimental data used in the chemical disciplines including the output of various instrumental techniques (Departmental Outcome E).	1) Each student must obtain a minimum cumulative score of 15 on each of 5 instrumental assignments (i.e., IR/MS/NMR assignments) completed in Chem 322.	All students who passed the class met the minimum score of 15 on all 5 assignments.	In Spring 2014, the instructor who initially developed the first 5 instruments implemented a policy of assigning a grade of "Incomplete" until a student had met the minimum criteria on all 5 assignments. As a result, the number of deficient criteria has dropped dramatically over the last couple of years. At the encouragement of the Chemistry Program's external reviewers, the departmental chemistry faculty have agreed to add an additional 4 instrumental assignments to the existing slate of 5. The chemistry faculty were hoping to implement these new assignments within the next one or two reporting cycles. The timeline for implementation may be delayed somewhat due to the retirement of one chemistry faculty and the fact that his replacement left after only one semester. To get the ball rolling, the faculty are shooting for Fall 2019 for full implementation. At the moment, however, no changes are warranted other than

Demonstrate an understanding	1) Mean score no lower than	1) Average Organismal sub-	In light of the successful scores of several recent cohorts on the
of the biological characteristics of	0.5σ below national mean and no	score is 53.1 (+0.00 <i>σ</i>). No	organismal sub-section of the ETS, the department has opted to
each of the major kingdoms	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	individuals failed to meet the –	not make any programmatic changes at this time based on this
(Departmental Outcome F)	below the national mean on the	1.5σ criterion.	instrument. Individuals missing the criterion of -1.5σ on other
	ETS biology exam Organismal Sub-		sub-sections or even as composite scores are a concern for us,
	score.		but legitimate reasons for individual students missing the cutoff
			(e.g., illness, test anxiety) do exist. The department is more
			concerned when students who have struggled throughout the
			curriculum at Malone, eventually graduate, but perform poorly
			on the ETS exam. This has occasionally happened, but not
			routinely. Historically, we have indicated that "No changes
			appear warranted at this time", but we have reached the point
			where we believe curricular changes are warranted.
			Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next
			report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or
			limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this from
			recurring).
Demonstrate an understanding	1) Mean score no lower than	1) Average Molecular	The average sub-score has dropped significantly from last year's
of the fundamental concepts of	0.5 σ below national mean and no	Biology/Genetics sub-score is	value and is actually the lowest sub-score recorded for us since
molecular biology and genetics	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	47.5 (–0.40 <i>o</i>). Two individuals	at least 2009. Still, the cohort average meets the departmental
(Departmental Outcome G).	below the national mean on the	failed to meet the –1.50 σ	standard of –0.5 σ . Nevertheless, the abnormally low average
	ETS biology exam Molecular	criterion (–1.70 σ and –2.30 σ).	score coupled with the fact that 2 students failed (badly) to
	Biology and Genetics sub-scores.		meet the -1.5σ criterion have set off alarm bells for us. One of
			the students that missed the individual standard (–2.30 σ) had a
			major GPA (2.31) which barely met the major GPA requirement
			for graduation (2.25) and scored below average in their Genetics
			course. The department has had multiple, at-length
			conversations regarding students who successfully complete the
			curriculum and manage to miss minimum scores on
			standardized tests at graduation. Historically, we have indicated
			that "No changes appear warranted at this time", but we have
			reached the point where we believe curricular changes are
			warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form by
			the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses
			and/or limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this
			from recurring).

Demonstrate an ability to	1) Mean score no lower than	1) Average Cell Biology sub-	This sub-section of the ETS has historically been our lowest. For
properly relate biological	0.5σ below national mean and no	score is 51.2 (-0.13σ). No	this reason, a curricular change was proposed and passed by the
structure and function	individual score lower than 1.5σ	individuals failed to meet the –	full faculty that added one credit hour to the introductory Cell
(Departmental Outcome I).	below the national mean on the	1.5σ criterion.	Biology course effective Fall 2012. This year represents only the
(Departmental Outcome I).	ETS biology exam Cell Biology sub-	1.50 chtenon.	third year that this curricular change would be expected to have
	score.		any bearing on assessment scores of graduating seniors. Several
	score.		years will be required, though, before the results could
			approach statistical significance. Although every student met
			the minimum criteria this year, two students who completed an
			entire Malone biology curriculum missed the criterion of -1.5σ
			last year. These two students had to retake one or more
			courses in order to improve their major GPA to the point that
			they were able to graduate. Historically, we have indicated that
			"No changes appear warranted at this time", but we have
			reached the point where we believe curricular changes are
			warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form by
			the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses
			and/or limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this
			from recurring).
Demonstrate the capability of	Instrument has been dropped in	NO DATA	Previous reports have indicated that our department has been
analyzing and reporting empirical	favor of a newer one that has yet		having a long and rather continuous conversation about the
data from the biological sciences	to be developed.		need to implement a research methods course. This course was
(Departmental Outcome K).			developed and approved by the department and full faculty.
			This course ran for the first time in Fall 2016. The exact nature
			of the assessment instrument is still in flux, but the department
			has completed the most difficult step in addressing this shortfall.
			The instructor of this course has indicated that a specific
			instrument designed to address this Program Intended Learning
			Outcome is possible, and several instruments have been
			deployed within the course. To date, however, a departmental
			assessment addressing K is still in flux. The instrument should
			be in place with first data collection by Fall 2020.

Demonstrate a balanced concept of molecular, micro, and macro levels of biological phenomena in the context of human systems (Departmental Outcome L).	 Mean score no lower than 8/12 on the A&P questions of the in-house biology post-test exam. No individual with a score lower than 5/12. (Note: New instrument – this criterion is still being evaluated). Average improvement on A&P questions from pre-test to post- test should be at least 70% (Note: New instrument – this criterion is still being evaluated). 	1) Average post-test score for Spring 2018 was 9.14. Lowest individual score was a 5. 2) Average improvement data is not yet possible for a single cohort (Fall 2018 entry won't have post-test data available until Spring 2022). Nevertheless, we can compare pre-test scores from the Fall 2018 cohort with the post- scores of Fall 2014 (year of entry) cohort collected this spring. Average pre-test score for Fall 2018 was 4.94 and median was 5.0 (compare with average of 9.14 and lowest individual score of 5 for post- test values). "Improvement" in performance across these two different cohorts was 85.0%	This instrument is in its infancy and has been altered twice already to increase its value/efficacy as it is "broken in" over the next year or so. Criteria for success will undoubtedly change over the next couple of years as well. This year, we can at least see that we have met our earliest criteria for success in a somewhat strained analysis (i.e., an 85.0% improvement across two different cohorts). In addition, note that the lowest score on the post-test instrument is better than the average score on the pre-test instrument. No need for curricular change based on these early findings.
Demonstrate the ability to properly relate biological structure and function in the	 Mean score no lower than 8/12 on the A&P questions of the in-house biology post-test. No 	 Average post-test score for Spring 2018 was 9.14. Lowest individual score was a 5. 	See comments in table cell for Departmental PILO 'L'.
context of human systems	individual with a score lower than	2) Average improvement data	
(Departmental Outcome M).	5/12. (Note: New instrument –	is not yet possible for a single	
	this criterion is still being	cohort (Fall 2018 entry won't	
	evaluated).	have post-test data available	
	2) Average improvement on A&P	until Spring 2022).	
	questions from pre-test to post-	Nevertheless, we can compare	
	test should be at least 70% (Note:	pre-test scores from the Fall	
	New instrument – this criterion is	2018 cohort with the post-	
	still being evaluated).	scores of Fall 2014 (year of	
		entry) cohort collected this spring. Average pre-test score	
		for Fall 2018 was 4.94 and	
		median was 5.0 (compare with	
		average of 9.14 and lowest	
		individual score of 5 for post-	
		test values). "Improvement" in	
		performance across these two	
		different cohorts was 85.0%	

Demonstrate the level of content	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5 σ	1) Average ETS composite	1) As has been the case for several years, the average ETS
mastery required for potential	below national mean and no	score is 151.3 (–0.13σ). A	composite score has been meeting the departmental standard.
successful performance in	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	single individual score failed to	Occasionally, an individual student fails to meet the minimum
graduate school biology	below the national mean on the	meet the –1.50 σ criterion	score, and this year is no exception. Historically, we have
programs or professional schools	ETS biology exam composite	(score of 133 which equates to -	indicated that "No changes appear warranted at this time", but
(Departmental Outcome N).	score. 2) Mean score no lower	1.54σ). 2) Mean score on in-	we have reached the point where we believe curricular changes
	than 31/50 and no individual	house Biology post-test is	are warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form
	score lower than 24/50 on the	32.93. All individuals exceeded	by the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific
	departmental biology Post-Test	the minimum score of 24	courses and/or limiting the number of course repeats might
	(A&P questions excluded).	(lowest score was 26).	prevent this from recurring).
			2) The lowest score of 26 this year on the In-House Biology
			post-test is sufficient.