

Program Name: Chemistry (Pre-Medicine Track)

Assessed by: Jeff Goff, Dept. of Natural Sciences

Date/Cycle of Assessment: Submitted on 12/19/2019; Reporting cycle of January 2018 - December 2018

Mission Statement:

The Malone University Department of Natural Sciences exists to engage students in the study of God's majesty and character by exploring His handiwork as it is revealed in Nature, both animate and inanimate; to promote the wise and thoughtful stewardship of the natural resources He has entrusted to us; and to encourage students to demonstrate God's love in their respective communities by using the knowledge and skills they acquire here.

Program Goals:

- Students should comprehend the central concepts of chemistry, the underlying assumptions of chemical knowledge, and be able to employ the methods of inquiry commonly utilized by practicing chemists at a level sufficient for entrance into graduate school, professional schools, and industry (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals A4, D1, and D3).
- Students should become proficient in solving chemical problems using both quantitative and qualitative approaches and in interpreting data generated by analytical instruments commonly employed by practicing chemists (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals C3, D4, and D5).
- Students should be able to apply the principles of Christian Stewardship to chemical practice and interpret chemical phenomena within a Christian worldview (Stems from Malone Educ. Goals D2, E1, and E5).

MALONE UNIVERSITY ANNUAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (See Appendix for Raw Data and Detailed Analysis)

Department:	Natural Sciences
Program:	Chemistry (Pre-Medicine Track)
Assessed by:	Jeffrey M. Goff - Dept. of Natural Sciences
Time Period Covered:	January 2018-December 2018
Submission Date:	12/19/2019

Program Intended Learning Outcomes (PILO)	Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success	Summary of Data Collected	Use of Results
Demonstrate the capability of integrating data and assessing phenomena within a Christian paradigm (Departmental Outcome A).	 Average cumulative score ≥ minimum cumulative score of no individual component score of 1 on the Faith and Learning Assessment Instrument as scored by the associated rubric. Mean score no lower than 0.5 σ 	Average composite score = 15.92; minimum composite score = 10; all individual component scores were 2 or higher.	Average composite score, all individual composite scores, and all individual component scores met the departmental criteria for success. No changes to curriculum deemed necessary.
the central concepts of chemistry including the major theories and laws which govern chemical phenomena (Departmental Outcome B).	below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ACS Gen Chem II Exam when administered as a post-test. 2) Average Cohort score on ACS Gen Chem II Exam should show at least a 70.0% improvement over the average cohort score when used as a pre-test.	Chem Exam is 36.07 (-0.16 <i>σ</i>). This year, only one student failed to meet the -1.5 <i>σ</i> criterion with a score of -1.54 <i>σ</i> . 2) Class average on ACS Gen Chem pre-test is 18.30 giving strong evidence of student improvement (97.1% improvement in score from pre- test to post-test).	only a single individual score that failed to meet the -1.5σ criterion. Although the single individual score is disappointing, it is an improvement over last year when 5 students failed to meet the individual score criterion, and the class average has improved as well. Although several reasons were listed in the appendix in support of the fact that results on this instrument need to be used "with a grain of salt", we are encouraged by the improvement. The improvement over the last 2 years might possibly reflect the introduction of the new, alternative "Zoo Chem" option for Zoo & Wildlife Biology majors. Over the next 2 to 3 years, the efficacy of this curriculum change should become more conclusive. The department has opted to postpone any remedial chemistry course development until this 2 to 3 year time window is complete. The ACS Gen Chem II pre- test scores, when compared to the post-test scores, are extremely strong evidence that our students are improving as a result of our freshman chemistry sequence. The department has concluded that whether or not our students enter below the national average, they show significant improvement in content knowledge as a result of this course sequence. STEM readiness scores for this cohort suggest that only 35% of the class was "ready" for Chem 131.

Demonstrate an understanding of the relationships between structure and behavior of the chemical elements in their various forms and combinations (Departmental Outcome C).	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ACS Organic Chem Exam. 2) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ETS chemistry exam Organic sub-	1) Mean score on the ACS Organic Chem Exam was 46.4 (+0.59 σ). No individuals failed to meet the -1.5 σ criterion. 2) Average sub-score on the Organic section of the ETS chemistry exam was 43.7 (- 0.30 σ). No individuals failed to meet the -1.5 σ criterion on the organic section.	 ACS Organic Exam scores were acceptable this year. ETS Organic sub-scores were also acceptable this year. The department has opted to not make any changes to the curriculum at this time.
Demonstrate safe laboratory practices and an environmental ethic as it pertains to chemical use and disposal (Departmental Outcome D).	Minimum scores of 20, 21, and 24 must be obtained respectively on 3 safety projects completed as a component of our Chem 201 course (Stewardship and Safety in Chemical Practice) and graded via associated rubrics. In addition to the composite scores criteria on all 3 projects, minimum individual element scores have also been set.	All 8 students reached the minimum score of 20 on Safety Project #1. In addition, no individual element score missed the standard. On Safety Project #2, all students who completed the course met the minimum composite score criterion of 21, but one individual element score failed to meet the minimum standard. On Safety Project #3, all students met the minimum composite score criterion of 24, though 1 individual element score missed the minimum standard.	1) Although two individual element scores missed the minimum standard, all composite scores met the standard. The instructor feels strongly that this was due to a lack of time. The extensive one-on-one time required of the professor/student precluded these individuals from repeating a few of the assessments to raise their scores to meet the standard for individual elements. Rather than fail the students, the instructor opted to allow the few sub-par scores with the intention of scheduling additional sessions at the next offering to give each student enough opportunities to meet the minimum scores on each project. The same problem was noted during the Fall 2013 and Fall 2015 offerings of the course, so the good intentions of the instructor have not been sufficient to accomplish the desired change. The department and full faculty recently approved a departmental proposal to add an extra hour to this course. The shortcomings mentioned above have now, we believe, been sufficiently addressed, and no individual element scores are expected to miss the minimum standard at the next offering in Fall 2019.

Demonstrate an ability to analyze various kinds of experimental data used in the chemical disciplines including the output of various instrumental techniques (Departmental	1) Each student must obtain a minimum cumulative score of 15 on each of 5 instrumental assignments (i.e., IR/MS/NMR assignments) completed in Chem 322.	All students who passed the class met the minimum score of 15 on all 5 assignments.	In Spring 2014, the instructor who initially developed the first 5 instruments implemented a policy of assigning a grade of "Incomplete" until a student had met the minimum criteria on all 5 assignments. As a result, the number of deficient criteria has dropped dramatically over the last couple of years. At the encouragement of the Chemistry Program's external reviewers,
Outcome E).			the departmental chemistry faculty have agreed to add an additional 4 instrumental assignments to the existing slate of 5. The chemistry faculty were hoping to implement these new assignments within the next one or two reporting cycles. The timeline for implementation may be delayed somewhat due to the retirement of one chemistry faculty and the fact that his replacement left after only one semester. To get the ball rolling, the faculty are shooting for Fall 2019 for full implementation. At the moment, however, no changes are warranted other than those already in motion.
Demonstrate an understanding of the fundamental concepts of molecular biology and genetics (Departmental Outcome G).	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5σ below national mean and no individual score lower than 1.5σ below the national mean on the ETS biology exam Molecular Biology and Genetics sub-scores.	1) Average Molecular Biology/Genetics sub-score is 47.5 (-0.40 σ). Two individuals failed to meet the -1.50 σ criterion (-1.70 σ and -2.30 σ).	The average sub-score has dropped significantly from last year's value and is actually the lowest sub-score recorded for us since at least 2009. Still, the cohort average meets the departmental standard of -0.5σ . Nevertheless, the abnormally low average score coupled with the fact that 2 students failed (badly) to meet the -1.5σ criterion have set off alarm bells for us. One of the students that missed the individual standard (-2.30σ) had a major GPA (2.31) which barely met the major GPA requirement for graduation (2.25) and scored below average in their Genetics course. The department has had multiple, at-length conversations regarding students who successfully complete the curriculum and manage to miss minimum scores on standardized tests at graduation. Historically, we have indicated that "No changes appear warranted at this time", but we have reached the point where we believe curricular changes are warranted. Departmental action is anticipated in some form by the next report (i.e., setting minimum grades for specific courses and/or limiting the number of course repeats might prevent this from recurring)

Demonstrate the level of content	1) Mean score no lower than 0.5 σ	1) Mean ETS composite score is	1) ETS Composite data have been acceptable for the last several
mastery required for potential	below national mean and no	143.7 (–0.36σ). No individuals	years. 2) ACS Organic Chem Exam criteria were met. No
successful performance in	individual score lower than 1.5 σ	failed to meet the -1.5 σ	curricular changes are deemed necessary at this time based on
chemical industry, graduate	below the national mean on the	criterion. 2) Mean score on the	these instruments.
school chemistry programs, or	ETS chemistry exam composite	ACS Organic Chem Exam was	
professional schools	score. 2) Mean score no lower	46.43 (+0.59 <i>σ</i>). No individuals	
(Departmental Outcome P).	than 0.5 σ below national mean	failed to meet the –1.5 σ	
	and no individual score lower than	criterion.	
	1.5 σ below the national mean on		
	the ACS Organic Chemistry exam.		